Page 1 of 3

1		
2	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
3	Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended	
4	PTO 10 (the "Motion"), the Court finds that the Plaintiffs subject to Defendants' Motion, identified in	
5	Exhibit A hereto, have violated Amended Pretrial Order No. 10 and caused prejudice to Uber.	
6	The Court therefore hereby ORDERS as follows:	
7	1. The claims of the Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit A to this Order are DISMISSED	
8	WITHOUT PREJUDICE pursuant to Amended PTO No. 10 (ECF No. 4287).	
9	2. Plaintiffs' counsel shall file notices of dismissal within 14 days of this Order.	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12		
13	2026	
14	Dated:, 2026HON. CHARLES R. BREYER	
15	United States District Court Judge	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO	

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO
DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AMENDED PTO 10

## **EXHIBIT A**

MDL ID	Case Number
1349	3:24-cv-02847
1741	3:24-cv-05182
3290	3:25-cv-03421
3433	3:25-cv-04337
3435	3:25-cv-04355
3475	3:25-cv-04585
3499	3:25-cv-04704